

Pseudofinite dimension and measure in pseudofinite fields

Martin Bays
19.05.2021

Contents

1	Preliminaries	1
1.1	Notation	1
1.2	Pseudofinite cardinality	1
2	Chatzidakis - van den Dries - Macintyre	1
2.1	Finitary version	1
2.2	Asymptotic version	1
2.3	Pseudofinite version	1
2.4	“Local” version	1
3	Proof	1
3.1	Case 1: $\phi(F, b) = V(F)$, V absolutely irreducible	1
3.2	Case 2: $\phi(F, b) = V(F)$	1
3.3	Case 3: $\phi(F, b) = X(F)$, X constructible	1
3.4	Case 4: $\phi(F, b) = X(F)$ arbitrary	1

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Notation

- $\mathcal{L} := \{+, \cdot\}$.
- x, y, z, a, b, c, \dots denote tuples.
- $|x|$ denotes the length of the tuple x .
- $M^x := M^{|x|}$.

1.2 Pseudofinite cardinality

Definition 1.1. If \mathcal{U} is an ultrafilter on a set I and $(X_i)_{i \in I}$ are finite sets, we define the **pseudofinite cardinality** of the ultraproduct by

$$\left| \prod_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} X_i \right| := \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} |X_i| \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathcal{U}}.$$

In particular, if \mathcal{M}_i are finite \mathcal{L} -structures and $\phi(x, y)$ is an \mathcal{L} -formula, and $b_i \in (\mathcal{M}_i)^y$, then

$$\left| \phi \left(\prod_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \mathcal{M}_i, \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} b_i \right) \right| = \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} |\phi(\mathcal{M}_i, b_i)|.$$

2 Chatzidakis - van den Dries - Macintyre

2.1 Finitary version

Theorem 2.1 (Chatzidakis - van den Dries - Macintyre). *Let $\phi(x, y)$ be an \mathcal{L} -formula. Then there are*

- $C \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$,
- $G \subseteq_{\text{fin}} (\{0, \dots, |x| \times \mathbb{Q}_{>0}\} \cup \{(0, 0)\})$, and
- \mathcal{L} -formulas $(\theta_{\phi, d, m}(y))_{(d, m) \in G}$,

such that for any finite field \mathbb{F}_q ,

- $\mathbb{F}_q \models \forall y. \bigvee_{(d, m) \in G} \theta_{\phi, d, m}(y)$

- for each $(d, m) \in G$, for $b \in (\mathbb{F}_q)^y$,

$$\mathbb{F}_q \models \theta_{\phi, d, m}(b) \Leftrightarrow |\phi(\mathbb{F}_q, b) - mq^d| \leq Cq^{d-\frac{1}{2}}$$

2.2 Asymptotic version

To prove Theorem 2.1, it suffices to prove it with “for any finite field” replaced by “for all sufficiently large finite fields”. Indeed, we can then increase C and modify the $\theta_{\phi, d, m}$ to handle the finitely many remaining finite fields.

Explicitly: to deal with fields of size $\leq q_0$, set

- $C' := \max(C, q_0^{|x|+\frac{1}{2}} + 1)$, so $|\phi(\mathbb{F}_{q_0}, b)| \leq q_0^{|x|} \leq C'q_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}$;

- $\theta'_{\phi, 0, 0}(y) := \theta_{\phi, 0, 0}(y) \vee \exists^{\leq q_0} x. x = x$;

- $\theta'_{\phi, d, m}(y) := \exists^> q_0 x. x = x \wedge \theta_{\phi, d, m}(y)$ for $(d, m) \neq (0, 0)$.

2.3 Pseudofinite version

By Loś, this asymptotic statement is equivalent to the following, which we will prove using the model theory of pseudofinite fields.

Theorem 2.2 (CDM, pseudofinite version). *Let $\phi(x, y)$ be an \mathcal{L} -formula. Then there are*

- $C \in \mathbb{R}$,
- $G \subseteq_{\text{fin}} (\{0, \dots, |x| \times \mathbb{Q}_{>0}\} \cup \{(0, 0)\})$, and
- \mathcal{L} -formulas $(\theta_{\phi, d, m}(y))_{(d, m) \in G}$,

such that for any infinite ultraproduct of finite fields F ,

- $F \models \forall y. \bigvee_{(d, m) \in G} \theta_{\phi, d, m}(y)$

- for each $(d, m) \in G$, for $b \in F^y$,

$$F \models \theta_{\phi, d, m}(b) \Leftrightarrow |\phi(F, b) - m|F|^d| \leq C|F|^{d-\frac{1}{2}}$$

Remark. Given $b \in F^y$, we can recover the corresponding d, m from $N := |\phi(F, b)|$ as

$$d = \text{st}(\log_{|F|}(N)); \quad m = \text{st}\left(\frac{N}{|F|^d}\right).$$

Hence the $\theta_{\phi, d, m}(y)$ are pairwise inconsistent, so form a partition.

Remark. In fact $d = \dim(\phi(F, b)^{\text{zar}}$). We will see that this falls out of the proof.

Remark. $|\phi(F, b)| < (m+1)|F|^d$ since $|F|^{\frac{1}{2}} > \mathbb{R} \ni C$.

Remark. Let $F \models \text{Psf}$ and $b \in F^y$.

If F is not an ultraproduct of finite fields, then $|F|$ and $|\phi(F, b)|$ are undefined.

But F is elementarily equivalent to an ultraproduct of finite fields,

so $b \models \theta_{\phi, d, m}(y)$ for some unique $(d, m) \in G$,

so this assigns a well-defined dimension and measure to $\phi(F, b)$.

2.4 “Local” version

Since an ultraproduct of ultraproducts of finite fields is an ultraproduct of finite fields, Theorem 2.2 is in turn equivalent to:

Theorem 2.3 (CDM, local version). *Let $\phi(x, y)$ be an \mathcal{L} -formula. Then there are*

Let F be an infinite ultraproduct of finite fields, and let $b \in F$. Then there are

- $(C, d, m) \in \mathbb{R} \times (\{0, \dots, |x| \times \mathbb{Q}_{>0}\} \cup \{(0, 0)\})$ and
- an \mathcal{L} -formula $\theta(y) \ni \text{tp}^F(b)$ such that:

$$\text{for any infinite ultraproduct of finite fields } F' \text{ and any } b' \in F', \quad (*)$$

$$F' \models \theta(b') \Rightarrow |\phi(F', b') - m|F'|^d| \leq C|F'|^{d-\frac{1}{2}}$$

Sketch proof of Theorem 2.2 from Theorem 2.3.

- Let $\Xi \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \{0, \dots, |x| \times \mathbb{Q}_{>0}\} \cup \{(0, 0)\} \times \mathcal{L}$ be the set of 4-tuples (C, d, m, θ) satisfying $(*)$.

- Theorem 2.2 asserts that for some finite subset of Ξ , the corresponding θ cover any F . (We use here the disjointness of the θ for distinct pairs (d, m) .)

- If not, taking an ultraproduct over finite subsets of Ξ of counterexamples $b \in F$, we obtain $b^* \in F^*$ for which $F^* \models \neg\theta(b^*)$ for any $(C, d, m, \theta) \in \Xi$, contradicting Theorem 2.3. □

3 Proof

We prove Theorem 2.3. So let $\phi(x, y)$ be an \mathcal{L} -formula.

Let F be an infinite ultraproduct of finite fields, and let $b \in F^y$.

We consider a series of increasingly complicated cases for the definable set $\phi(F, b)$, and in each case we find C, d, m, θ as required.

3.1 Case 1: $\phi(F, b) = V(F)$, V absolutely irreducible.

Fact 3.1. *Let $\bar{f}(x, y) = (f_i(x, y))_{i < m}$ be polynomials over \mathbb{Z} , and let $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there is a quantifier-free ring formula $A_{\bar{f}, d}(y)$ such that for any field F and $b \in F^y$,*

$$F \models A_{\bar{f}, d}(b) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{V}(\bar{f}(x, b)) \text{ is absolutely irreducible of dimension } d.$$

Fact 3.2 (Lang-Weil). *There is a function $C_{LW} : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that if \mathbb{F}_q is a finite field and W is an absolutely irreducible variety defined by polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_q[X_1, \dots, X_n]_{\leq D}$,*

$$\left| |W(\mathbb{F}_q)| - q^{\dim(W)} \right| \leq C_{LW}(n, D)q^{\dim(W)-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We deduce:

Lemma 3.3. *If $F = \prod_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{F}_{q_i}$ is an infinite ultraproduct of finite fields and W is an absolutely irreducible variety defined by polynomials in $F[X_1, \dots, X_n]_{\leq D}$,*

$$\left| |W(F)| - |F|^{\dim(W)} \right| \leq C_{LW}(n, D)|F|^{\dim(W)-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof.

- Say $W = \mathcal{V}(\bar{f}(x, c))$ where $f_i \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ and $c = \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} c_i \in F^y$.

- Let $d := \dim W$.

- By Loś, the following holds for \mathcal{U} -many i :

- $\mathbb{F}_{q_i} \models A_{\bar{f}, d}(c_i)$; hence

- $W_i := \mathcal{V}(\bar{f}(x, c_i))$ is absolutely irreducible of dimension d ; hence

$$\left| |W_i(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})| - q_i^{\dim(W)} \right| \leq C_{LW}(n, D)q_i^{\dim(W)-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

- We conclude since $|W(F)| = \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} |W_i(\mathbb{F}_{q_i})|$ and $|F| = \lim_{i \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} q_i$. □

Now suppose $\phi(F, b) = V(F)$ for an absolutely irreducible variety $V = \mathcal{V}(\bar{f}(x, c))$ where $f_i(x, y)$ has degree $\leq D$ in x and $c \in F^y$.

Then we can take:

- $C := C_{LW}(|x|, D)$,
- $d := \dim(V)$,
- $m := 1$,
- $\theta(y) := \exists z. (A_{\bar{f}, d}(z) \wedge \forall x. (\phi(x, y) \leftrightarrow x \in \mathcal{V}(\bar{f}(x, z))))$.

3.2 Case 2: $\phi(F, b) = V(F)$

- Suppose $\phi(F, b) = V(F)$ where V is a Zariski-closed set.

- Replacing V with the Zariski closure of $V(F)$, we may assume that $V(F)$ is Zariski dense in V .

- V has an irreducible decomposition $V = \bigcup_{i < n} V_i$ where V_i is an absolutely irreducible variety, and $V_i \not\subseteq V_j$ for $i \neq j$.

- We show that our estimate on $|V(F)|$ holds with

$$d := \dim V = \max_i \dim(V_i)$$

$$m := |\{i : \dim(V_i) = d\}|.$$

- Since $V(F) = \bigcup_i V_i(F)$ is Zariski-dense in V , also $V_i(F)$ is Zariski-dense in V_i for all i .

- So each V_i is F -invariant, and F is perfect, so each V_i is defined over F .

- Any intersection of two or more of the V_i has dimension $< d$.

- By inclusion-exclusion,

$$|\phi(F, b)| = \left| \bigcup_i V_i(F) \right| = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [n]} (-1)^{|I|-1} \left| \left(\bigcap_{i \in I} V_i \right)(F) \right| = \sum_i |V_i(F)| + \dots$$

- Applying Case 1 to the V_i , and inductively applying the present case to the lower dimensional intersections, we conclude:

$$|\phi(F, b) - m|F|^d| \leq \sum_{i < m} C_i |F|^{d-\frac{1}{2}} + C' |F|^{d-1} \leq C |F|^{d-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $C' \in \mathbb{R}$ is large enough to bound the terms in the inclusion-exclusion formula arising from the finitely many lower dimensional V_i and the intersections of two or more V_i , and setting $C := \sum_{i < m} C_i + 1$ (and using $|F|^{\frac{1}{2}} > \mathbb{R} \ni C'$).

- Set

$$\theta(y) := \exists y_0, \dots, y_{n-1}. ((\forall x. \phi(x, y) \leftrightarrow \bigvee_{i < n} \psi_i(x, y_i))$$

$$\wedge \bigwedge_i \theta_i(y_i))$$

$$\wedge \bigwedge_{I \subseteq [n], |I| \geq 2} \theta_I((y_i)_{i \in I})),$$

where

- $V_i(F) = \psi_i(F, b_i)$,

- θ_i are as in Case 1 for ψ_i ,

- θ_I are obtained by inductive application of the present case to $\bigwedge_{i \in I} \psi_i(x, y_i)$.

- Note that we do have $d = \dim(\phi(F, b)^{\text{zar}}$) in this case.

3.3 Case 3: $\phi(F, b) = X(F)$, X constructible

(Constructible means: boolean combination of Zariski-closed.)

- Intersecting with $X(F)^{\text{zar}}$, we can assume $X(F)$ is Zariski-dense in X .

- We can write X as a disjoint union $X = \bigcup_i V_i \setminus W_i$ where V_i is absolutely irreducible and $W_i \subseteq V_i$ is a proper closed subset.

- Set $d := \max_i \dim(V_i) = \dim(X(F)^{\text{zar}})$ and $m := |\{i : \dim(V_i) = d\}|$.

-

$$|X(F)| = \sum_i (|V_i(F)| - |W_i(F)|),$$

so the estimate follows by applying Case 2 to each V_i and W_i ,

using $\dim(W_i) < d$ and the Zariski density of $V_i(F)$ in V_i .

(Note: we could alternatively use the Rabinovich trick here.)

(Alternative alternative (thanks to Martin Hils for suggesting this): note that $X^{\text{zar}} \setminus X$ is constructible of lower dimension; use this case inductively to handle it, and Case 2 for X^{zar} (in which F -points are Zariski-dense).)

- θ expresses that this decomposition and the estimates for the various terms hold.

3.4 Case 4: $\phi(F, b) = X(F)$ arbitrary

- Recall: there exists a Zariski-closed set V over F and a co-ordinate projection π such that $\pi_F := \pi|_{V(F)} : V(F) \rightarrow X(F)$ is surjective with boundedly finite fibres.

- So we have a definable partition $X(F) = \bigcup_{1 \leq n \leq M} X_n(F)$ where

$$X_n(F) := \{a \in X(F) : |\pi_F^{-1}(a)| = n\}.$$

- We may assume that $V(F)$ is Zariski-dense in V .

- Consider the constructible sets

$$W_k := \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) : x_i \in V, \pi(x_i) = \pi(x_j), x_i \neq x_j (\forall i \neq j)\} \subseteq V^k,$$

and maps

$$\pi_{k,F} : W_k(F) \rightarrow X(F); (x_1, \dots, x_k) \mapsto \pi(x_1).$$

- For $a \in X_n(F)$ and $1 \leq n, k \leq M$,

$$|\pi_{k,F}^{-1}(a)| = P_{kn} = \begin{cases} \binom{n!}{(n-k)!} & (k \leq n) \\ 0 & (k > n) \end{cases}.$$

- Now the matrix P is lower triangular, and non-zero on the diagonal, so P has an inverse $P^{-1} \in \text{GL}_M(\mathbb{Q})$.

$$|W_k(F)| = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq M} P_{kn} |X_n(F)|,$$

hence

$$|X_n(F)| = \sum_{1 \leq k \leq M} (P^{-1})_{nk} |W_k(F)|.$$

- Now

$$|X(F)| = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq M} |X_n(F)|.$$

By Case 3 we can estimate $|W_k(F)|$ with (d_k, m_k) say, so we obtain our estimate for $|X(F)|$ with

$$d := \max_i d_i$$

$$m := \sum_{\{(n,k) : d_k = d\}} (P^{-1})_{nk} m_k \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

- $\theta(y)$ expresses that $\phi(F, y)$ is the projection of $V^y(F)$ with fibres bounded by M , and the estimate works for the corresponding $|W_k^y(F)|$ (which we can express by Case 3).

- To see $d = \dim(X(F)^{\text{zar}})$:

- Recall $V = V(F)^{\text{zar}}$.

- From the way V was obtained, $\pi : V \rightarrow X(F)^{\text{zar}}$ has finite fibres on $X(F)$, so also each $\pi_k : W_k \rightarrow X(F)^{\text{zar}}$ has generically finite fibres.

- Then $d_1 = \dim(V) = \dim(X(F)^{\text{zar}})$ and $d_k \leq \dim(W_k) \leq \dim(X(F)^{\text{zar}})$.

- So $d = \dim(X(F)^{\text{zar}})$.