

SOLUTION SET 10

Problem 1 Let α be a complex number. We define α to be an algebraic integer if it obeys a polynomial relation of the form $\alpha^n + c_1\alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + c_{n-1}\alpha + c_n = 0$ with the c_i integers.

(a) Show that α is an algebraic integer if and only if the \mathbb{Z} module spanned by $1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3, \dots$ is finitely generated over \mathbb{Z} .

Suppose that α is an algebraic integer. We show, by induction on m , that α^m is in the \mathbb{Z} -span of $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}$. This shows that the \mathbb{Z} -module spanned by $1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \alpha^3, \dots$ is generated by $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}$. For $m \leq n-1$, the claim is trivial. For m larger, we have

$$\alpha^m = c_1\alpha^{m-1} + \cdots + c_{n-1}\alpha^{m-n+1} + c_n\alpha^{m-n}.$$

Inductively, all the terms on the left hand side are in the \mathbb{Z} -span of $1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}$, so α^m is as well.

In the reverse direction, let L_i be the \mathbb{Z} -span of $1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^i$ and let $L_\infty = \bigcup L_i$. Suppose that L_∞ is finitely generated over \mathbb{Z} , with generators e_1, e_2, \dots, e_s . Then each e_j is in some L_i . By taking n large enough, we can arrange that e_1, e_2, \dots, e_s are all in L_{n-1} , so $L_{n-1} = L_\infty$. Then $\alpha^n \in L_n = L_\infty = L_{n-1}$, and we deduce that

$$\alpha^n = c_1\alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + c_{n-1}\alpha + c_n$$

for some integers c_i .

(b) Show that, if α and β are algebraic integers, so are $\alpha + \beta$ and $\alpha\beta$.

Suppose that α obeys a monic polynomial of degree m and β obeys a monic polynomial of degree n . Then the \mathbb{Z} -span of the powers of $\alpha + \beta$, and also of the powers of $\alpha\beta$, is contained in the \mathbb{Z} -span of $\alpha^i\beta^j$ with $0 \leq i < m$ and $0 \leq j < n$.

(c) Show that, if α is an algebraic integer, then the minimal polynomial of α over \mathbb{Q} is of the form $\alpha^n + c_1\alpha^{n-1} + \cdots + c_{n-1}\alpha + c_n = 0$ with the c_i integers. (Hint: Quote something from a previous problem set.)

Suppose that $d(\alpha) = 0$, where $d(x)$ is a polynomial with integer coefficients and leading coefficient 1. Let $c(x)$ be the minimal polynomial of α , normalized to also have leading coefficient 1. Then $d(x) = b(x)c(x)$ for some polynomial $b(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, which also has leading coefficient 1.

By Problem Set 8, problem CITE, there is a rational number r such that $rb(x)$ and $r^{-1}c(x)$ have integer coefficients. Looking at leading terms, both r and r^{-1} are integers. So $r = \pm 1$, and the coefficients of $c(x)$ are integers, as desired.

Problem 2 Let $f(x)$ be an irreducible polynomial of degree 4 with rational coefficients and roots $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ and α_4 . Suppose that α_1 and α_2 are real and α_3 and α_4 are not real.

(a) Let

$$\beta = (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_3)(\alpha_1 - \alpha_4)(\alpha_2 - \alpha_3)(\alpha_2 - \alpha_4)(\alpha_3 - \alpha_4).$$

Show that β^2 is a negative rational number.

This was the problem from a QR exam. Any permutation of the α 's takes β to $\pm\beta$, and hence fixes β^2 . So β^2 is fixed by the Galois group, and is hence a rational number.

Let σ be complex conjugation, so $\sigma(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1$, $\sigma(\alpha_2) = \alpha_2$, $\sigma(\alpha_3) = \alpha_4$ and $\sigma(\alpha_4) = \alpha_3$. We compute that $\sigma(\beta) = -\beta$, so β is a purely imaginary number, and β^2 is negative.

(b) Show that the Galois group of the splitting field of f over \mathbb{Q} is either S_4 or $D_{2,4}$.

Let G be the Galois group. We will consider G as a subgroup of S_4 .

G contains the element σ which interchanges 3 and 4. It also must act transitively on the $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$'s, since f is irreducible. Let τ be a permutation which takes 3 to 2.

If $\tau(4) = 4$ or 3, then σ and $\tau\sigma\tau^{-1}$ together generate all permutations of 234. So the stabilizer of 1 under the G -action has size 6 in this case and the group of S_4 .

If $\tau(4) = 1$, then G contains (12) and (34). If τ is (32)(41), then τ , (12) and (34) generate a copy of $D_{2,4}$. So, in that case G contains the 8 element group $D_{2,4}$, and must have order either 8 or 24. If τ is (41), then (12), τ and (34) already generate all of S_4 .

Problem 3 Inside the group S_5 , let $\sigma = (1243)$ and let $\tau = (12345)$. Let T be the subgroup of S_5 generated by τ , and let D be the subgroup generated by σ and τ .

(a) Check that T is normal in D , and $|D| = 20$.

We have $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} = \tau^2$, so $\sigma T\sigma^{-1} = T$. We also obviously have $\tau T\tau^{-1} = T$. Since D is generated by σ and τ , the subgroup T is normal in D .

Since σ and τ generate G , and $\tau \in T$, we see that σ generates D/T . Since σ, σ^2 and σ^3 are not in T , we have that $D/T \cong \mathbb{Z}/4$, so $|D| = 20$.

Let k be a field of characteristic zero containing primitive 4th and 5th roots of unity, call them i and ζ . Let $L = k(\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_5)$, the field of rational functions in 5 independent variables $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_5$; let S_5 act on L by permuting the θ 's. Let $K \subset F \subset F' \subset L$ be the fixed fields of S_5, D, T respectively.

(b) What are the degrees of the extensions $F/K, F'/F$ and L/F' ?

The degrees of $F/K, F'/F$ and L/F' are 6, 4 and 5, respectively.

Set $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^5 \theta_j \zeta^j$.

(c) Show that $\alpha^5 \in F'$ and $L = F'(\alpha)$.

Observe that $\tau(\alpha) = \zeta\alpha$. So $\tau(\alpha^5) = \zeta^5\alpha^5 = \alpha^5$ and α^5 is fixed by τ . Since L/F' is of order 5, the field L is generated by any element not in F' , and the element α is clearly not in F' .

(d) Find an element $\beta \in F'$ so that $\beta^4 \in F$ and $F' = F(\beta^4)$.

There are many options, but my favorite is the following. Let $\delta_1 = \alpha^5$. Let $\delta_2 = \sigma(\alpha^5) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^5 \theta_{2j} \zeta^j\right)^5$ (where indices are modulo 5) and define δ_3 and δ_4 analogously. Set

$$\beta = \delta_1 + \delta_2 i + \delta_3(-1) + \delta_4(-i).$$

Then $\sigma(\beta) = i\beta$. So $\sigma(\beta^4) = \beta^4$ and $\beta^4 \in F$.

In order to show that $F(\beta) = F'$, we just must show that β is not in F , nor in the quadratic extension of F . Since $\sigma^2(\beta) = -\beta$, the element β is not fixed by σ^2 and we are done.

(e) Let $\gamma = \beta^4$ be the element that you found in the previous problem. Show that γ obeys a polynomial of degree 6 over K .

Since γ is in F , it is fixed by D . So γ has $6 = \#(S_5)/\#(D)$ conjugates under the action of S_5 . The polynomial $\prod_{g \in S_5/D} (x - g(\gamma))$ has coefficients in K , by symmetry, and degree 6.

This polynomial was explicitly computed by Lagrange, and is known as the Lagrange resolvent.

Problem 4 Let ζ be a primitive n -th root of unity. The aim of this problem is to show that $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta)/\mathbb{Q})$ is all of $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$ (we have already shown that it is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$).

Define $\phi(x) = \prod_{a \in \mathbb{Z}/n^*} (x - \zeta^a)$.

(a) Compute ϕ for $1 \leq n \leq 6$. The coefficients of ϕ should be integers. (Feel free to use a computer algebra system.)

$$x - 1, \quad x + 1, \quad x^2 + x + 1, \quad x^2 + 1, \quad x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1, \quad x^2 - x + 1$$

(b) Prove that, for any n , the coefficients of ϕ are integers. (Hint: See problem 1, and feel free to use results from that problem which you haven't proved.)

An n -th root of unity is an algebraic integer, since it obeys $x^n - 1 = 0$. So the coefficients of ϕ are algebraic integers, since they are sums of products of algebraic integers. The coefficients of ϕ are also rational, since they are Galois invariant. So the coefficients of ϕ are integers.

(c) Show that $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta), \mathbb{Q})$ is $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$ if and only if $\phi(x)$ is irreducible.

If $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta), \mathbb{Q})$ is all of $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$, then all of the roots of ϕ are in a single Galois orbit, so ϕ is irreducible. Conversely, if $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\zeta), \mathbb{Q})$ is all of $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$ is a proper subgroup H of $(\mathbb{Z}/n)^*$, then $\prod_{a \in H} (x - \zeta^a)$ will have integer coefficients and will divide $\phi(x)$.

(d) Let p be a prime not dividing n . Show that $x^n - 1$ is separable in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$.

We just compute $\text{GCD}(x^n - 1, nx^{n-1}) = 1$.

Suppose for the sake of contradiction that $\phi(x) = f(x)g(x)$ with f irreducible.

(e) Show that the coefficients of f and g are integers.

This is the same argument as in 1(c): Normalize f and g to have leading coefficient 1. There is a rational number r such that both $rf(x)$ and $r^{-1}g(x)$ have rational coefficients. But looking at leading terms shows that r and r^{-1} are both integers, so $r = \pm 1$ and $f(x)$ and $g(x)$ have integer coefficients.

(f) (**Harder**) Let p be a prime not dividing n . Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that $f(\zeta) = 0$ and $f(\zeta^p) \neq 0$. Show that, in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$, the polynomial $f(x)$ divides $g(x)$. (This question makes sense because the coefficients of f and g are integers.)

Since ζ^p is a root of $\phi(x)$, and $f(\zeta^p)$ is assumed not to be zero, we must have $g(\zeta^p) = 0$. So ζ is a root of both $f(x)$ and $g(x^p)$. Since f is irreducible, this means that $f(x)$ divides $g(x^p)$: Say $f(x)h(x) = g(x^p)$. Reducing this relationship modulo p , we obtain $f(x)h(x) = g(x^p) = g(x)^p \pmod{p}$. So f divides $g(x)^p$ in $\mathbb{F}_p[x]$. As $x^n - 1$ is separable modulo p , so are all its factors and f is separable modulo p . So $f(x)|g(x) \pmod{p}$.

(g) Deduce that, for any prime p not dividing n , if $f(\zeta) = 0$ then $f(\zeta^p) = 0$.

We previously showed that, if $f(\zeta) = 0$ and $f(\zeta^p) \neq 0$, then $f(x)|g(x)$ modulo p . But then $f(x)^2$ divides $\phi(x)$ modulo p , contradicting that $\phi(x)$ is separable modulo p .

(h) Show that, for any a relatively prime to n , $f(\zeta^a) = 0$. (You do **not** need to use Dirichlet's theorem that there is a prime p congruent to $a \pmod{n}$.)

Factor a into primes as $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_s$. Part (g) shows that, if ζ is a root of f then so is ζ^{p_1} . Applying the result again, $\zeta^{p_1 p_2}$ is also a root of f and so forth, up to $\zeta^{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_s} = \zeta^a$ being a root of f .